

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/041,982	04/26/2002	Philip Cru Chase	569-P-001	6974	
7277	7590 06/18/2003				
HOWARD C. MISKIN C/O STOLL, MISKIN, & BADIE THE EMPIRE STATE BUILDING			EXAMINER KING, ANITA M		
			3632		
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 91	_			
	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
0.55	10/041,982		CHASE, PHILIP C	RU
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Anita M. King		3632	<u> </u>
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover	sneet with the co	rrespondence add	aress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.130 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, and any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, howev within the statutory minir Il apply and will expire S cause the application to	rer, may a reply be time num of thirty (30) days v IX (6) MONTHS from th become ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered timely se mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ja	<u>anuary 2002</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-fin	al.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims	nce except for for Ex parte Quayle,	mal matters, pro 1935 C.D. 11, 45	secution as to the 3 O.G. 213.	e merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from considera	tion.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-15</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 16</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requiren	nent.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on				\r
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			red by the Examine	31 .
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		OT1.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	USC 8 119(a)	-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under co	5.5.5.3 · · · (a)	(4) 5. (.).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been recei	ved.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			n No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	ity documents have eau (PCT Rule 1	ve been received 7.2(a)).	d in this National	Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic				application)
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional applicatio	n has been rece	ived.	арриодиоту.
Attachment(s)	priority under or	2.0.0. 33 120	onium vi last.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PT0	

Art Unit: 3632

This is the first office action for application number 10/041,982, Display Device, filed on January 10, 2002. This application is a reissue application of U.s. Patent No. 6,220,555 issued April 24, 2001.

Supplemental Reissue Oath/Declaration

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1), a supplemental reissue oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) must be received before this reissue application can be allowed.

Claims 1-16 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251. See 37 CFR 1.175. The nature of the defect is set forth above.

Receipt of an appropriate supplemental oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) will overcome this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 251. An example of acceptable language to be used in the supplemental oath/declaration is as follows:

"Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and is not covered by a prior oath/declaration submitted in this application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant."

Claim Objections

Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informality: in claim 6, line 17, "hinge" should be changed to --hinges--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9 recites the limitations "the display panel" in lines 3 and 5 and "the rear legs" in line 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The original recitations were drawn to "a display section" as cited in claim 1, line 3 and "a rear leg" as cited in claim 9, line 5.

Claim 16 recites the limitation "the rear legs" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The original recitation was drawn to "a rear leg" as cited in claim 24.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-8 and 10-15 are allowed.

Claims 9 and 16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the primary reason for indicating allowable subject matter of the claims in this case, is the limitation of "a base panel along the bottom edge having two slots extending from the base panel to the display section," included in all the independent claims and in combination with the other elements recited in the respective claims, which is not found in the prior art of record.

Art Unit: 3632

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anita M. King whose telephone number is (703) 308-2162. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie A. Braun can be reached on (703) 308-2156. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-3597 for regular communications and (703) 308-3519 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Anita M. King/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632 Page 4

May 30, 2003